

## PECS CYPRUS PROPOSAL SUBMISSION HIGH LEVEL CHECKLIST FIFTH CALL

Technical Criteria	
	Is your objective clearly stated, short and to the point?
	Are your requirements quantified and verifiable with specified success criteria?
П	☐ Will they show, when fulfilled, that the objective was achieved?  Is your Baseline concept/ design well described and the improvement or benefit toward
	advancing the state of the art clear? Have key trade-offs been discussed?
	Does your program of work include all the needed design and development steps?
	□ Does it include meetings, reviews, key testing plans, procedures and validation?
	□ Does it address potential problems or risks and mitigations to ensure success?
	Are the text, work logic flowchart, Work Package Descriptions (WPDs) and Gantt chart consistent?
	Are your experience, key personnel and facilities described and tailored to the needs of your project?
	☐ In case of gaps in these, has a plan to acquire them been included?
	Is your WBS logically structured following the work flow?
	<ul><li>Does it include a WP for management?</li><li>Does each company have separate (sub)Work Packages?</li></ul>
П	Do your WPDs include sufficient detail to understand the full scope of the work and
	correspond well with what is presented in the PSS Forms?
Programmatic Criteria	
	Have you chosen the right activity type among those of the cover letter?
	Do you meet the associated programmatic constraints? Have you identified which?
	Is your objective not a duplication of ESA planned or ongoing activity?
Mana	agement Criteria
	Is the management plan well defined?
	Does it show how you manage your project, including subcontractor aspects?
	<ul> <li>Does it identify a single Project Manager in direct communication with ESA?</li> <li>Are the role and responsibilities of all Key Personnel clear?</li> </ul>
	Is your planning detailed enough?
	☐ Are the dependencies between tasks identified?
	□ Does it match the WPD?
	Is the costing credible?
	☐ Are the hours justified by the described scope work in WPD?
	☐ Are all procurement items and trips well described and justified?  Are the deliverables complete and well defined (e.g. contents or components clear)?
Ш	Are the deriverables complete and wen derined (e.g. contents of components clear):
Cont	ractual Criteria
	Have all elements been included (Cover Letter, PSS Forms) and completely signed?
	Are all the sections of the Proposal Template addressed, with no changes to the template and
П	are you within the page limit? Are the IPR well addressed?
	□ Is the BIPR described and are the effected deliverables listed?
	☐ Is it clearly described who will own the foreground IPR?